

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/849,044	05/04/2001	Dusan Pavenik	PA-5252-RFB	9073
759	90 01/26/2004		EXAM	INER
Richard J. Godlewski			STEWART, ALVIN J	
Patent Attorney				
P.O. Box 2269			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomington, I	N 47402-2269		3738	<i>i</i> 9
			DATE MAIL ED: 01/26/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>/Y.</u> K
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/849,044	PAVCNIK ET AL.	
- 1212 20 , 112 22 2	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin J Stewart	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	
THE REPLY FILED 14 January 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of thi r: (1) a timely filed amendm opeal (with appeal fee); or (3	s application. A proper reply to ent which places the applicatior	o a n in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or I	0)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The lave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exposes. The control of the shorter of the checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three tearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS are date on which the petition under 37 extension and the corresponding amore need statutory period for reply original contents.	ng date of the final rejection. S OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MF 7 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate exter bunt of the fee. The appropriate extension ally set in the final Office action; or (2) as	PEP nsion fee n fee under set forth in
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella The Appeal was filed on Appella The Appella of the Appeal was filed on Appella of the Appella of the Appeal was filed on			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere		moder of the appeal.	
(a) they raise new issues that would require fu		search (see NOTF below)	
(a) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see No		earch (see NOTE below),	
(c) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Not issues for appeal; and/or	•	by materially reducing or simpl	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without car	nceling a corresponding nun	nber of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	Tooling a corresponding fram	, so the state of	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		ed in a separate, timely filed am	endment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reques application in condition for allowance because		en considered but does NOT p	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		OLELY to issues which were no	ewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendan explanation of how the new or amended claim			an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo	ows:	•	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-6</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 7-9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	,		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)		oved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State			
10. Other:			
	CORRINE MODE SUPERVISORY PARAM TECHNOLOGY CEN	IT EXAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/849,044

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new limitations entered in claim 1 raise new issues that were not considered in the previous Office Action. However, the Examiner believes that the new limitations entered in claim 1 do not place the application in better form for allowing the case. However, the application will be allowed if the Applicants cancel claim 1 and dependent claims 7 and 8 are re-written in order to depend on independent claim 3.